Key Features of a Comprehensive Principal Evaluation System

INTEGRATED LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVE



The development of this resource was supported by the Integrated Leadership Development Initiative (ILDI), a state cross-agency partnership, that focuses on guiding and supporting leader development and improving conditions of leadership so that there are highly accomplished leaders in every district and school in California.

This work is also supported by the California Comprehensive Center, a partnership of WestEd, American Institutes for Research, and School Services of California, through funding from the U.S. Department of Education, Pr/Award Number S283B050032. It does not necessarily reflect the views of policies of the U.S. Department of Education and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

Suggested citation: Leon, R., Davis, S., Kearney, K., Sanders, N., and Thomas, C. (2011). Key features of a comprehensive principal evaluation system. San Francisco, CA: California Comprehensive Assistance Center, WestEd.

Ronald J. Leon is an Associate Professor specializing in educational leadership in the Department of Education at California State Polytechnic University, Pomona, where he supervises the fieldwork of future school administrators and is Assistant Director of the Great Leaders for Great Schools Academy.

Stephen H. Davis is a professor of educational leadership at California State Polytechnic University, Pomona, where he directs the Great Leaders for Great Schools Academy. He is the author of Research and Practice in Education: The Search for Common Ground (Rowman & Littlefield, 2008), The Intuitive Dimensions of Administrative Decision Making (Scarecrow Press, 2003), and numerous articles on educational leadership and decision making.

Karen Kearney is the Director of the Leadership Initiative at WestEd, formerly the California School Leadership Academy. Kearney directs all aspects of the initiative, which focuses on the role of the principal, superintendent, and teacher leaders in comprehensive school restructuring.

Nancy Sanders is a professor and educational policy consultant, who directs large, multi-year federal research grants; advises on state policies about standards, assessments, and accreditation; and designs and teaches university degree and certification programs.

Christopher N. Thomas is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Leadership Studies at the University of San Francisco and was recently named Professor of the Year by the Association of California School Administrators. He recently co-authored an article in Leadership Magazine entitled "Effective Principal Support: What Will it Take?"

Project Director, Karen Kearney, Leadership Initiatives at WestEd

Editing and Design, WestEd

Copyright 2011 WestEd.

This resource can be downloaded for free at http://www.schoolsmovingup.net/effectiveprincipals

Permission to reproduce with the WestEd copyright notice is hereby granted.

WestEd, 730 Harrison Street, San Francisco, CA 94107

Key Features of a Comprehensive Principal Evaluation System

Drawn from a comprehensive review of research and professional literature on principal evaluation⁽¹⁾, twelve *Key Features* were identified as representing elements critical in establishing a comprehensive principal evaluation system. The *Key Features* were validated through focus groups of superintendents, human resource administrators, principals, and professors of education administration, along with numerous conversations with individual practitioners, experts, and researchers in K-12 and higher education, instructional leadership, and personnel evaluation. In addition, the *Key Features* were reviewed against the personnel evaluation standards described in the *Joint Committee* on *Standards for Educational Evaluation* (*JCSEE*) *Framework* 2009⁽²⁾.

The Key Features can be used for two purposes:

- I. Research: To provide a basis on which to study district implementation and resources in support of these features (and their possible connection to improving student outcomes).
- 2. Practice: To provide a basis for a reflective guide for school districts to look at the systems, practices, and support framing their principal evaluation systems.

By serving both purposes, the *Key Features* supports the development of coherent principal evaluation systems. Using the *Key Features* also facilitates collaboration across the various perspectives of those who are working to improve how principals are evaluated.

Mission to improve student learning: Does the principal evaluation system address directly the principal's roles, responsibilities, and performance in improving teaching and learning for all students?

continued on following page...

Research and standards: Is the principal evaluation system framed by research and national/state professional leadership standards?

District policy: Do the district's organizational structure, policies, and procedures guide and support the principal evaluation system?

Clear expectations: Does the principal evaluation system provide clear expectations for principal performance that are consistently and clearly communicated?

Principal participation: Does the principal participate in setting and prioritizing individual professional goals and objectives that relate to district and school goals?

Professional growth: Does the principal evaluation system promote and support the professional development and growth of the principal?

Ongoing review: Does the principal evaluation include opportunities to gather and review evaluation evidence through ongoing and regular interactions between the evaluator and principal?

Multiple forms of data: Does the principal evaluation system include multiple forms of data (evidence) about the principal's performance from a variety of sources, including a range of people who work with the principal?

Adaptable: Is the principal evaluation system sufficiently flexible and adaptable to adjust for variable school and community contexts, needs, and unique circumstances faced by principals?

Evaluator and principal training: Does the principal evaluation system require and provide ongoing training for evaluators and principals about the principal evaluation system?

Validity and reliability: Is the principal evaluation system designed to ensure that the processes, instruments, data, decisions, and outcomes are valid, reliable, and fair?

Regular system review: Is there regular review and revision of the principal evaluation system that includes purposes, components, processes, and outcomes?

This resource, along with additional resources and tools framed by the twelve *Key Features*, can be downloaded for free at http://www.schoolsmovingup.net/effectiveprincipals.

For more on strategies to develop principals, see the report: Integrated Leadership Development Initiative. (2010). Effective principals for california schools – Building a coherent leadership development system. San Francisco, CA: California Comprehensive Assistance Center, WestEd. http://www.wested.org/cs/we/view/rs/1020.

Notes

- (1) Davis, S., Kearney, K., Sanders, N., Thomas, C. & Leon, R. (2011 June). *The policies and practices of principal evaluation: A review of the literature.* San Francisco, CA: California Comprehensive Assistance Center, WestEd. Available at http://www.schoolsmovingup.net/effectiveprincipals
- (2) The JCSEE established a framework to evaluate education personnel using four standards: 1. propriety standards that reflect legal and ethical considerations; 2. utility standards that guide evaluations to be informative, influential, and timely; 3. feasibility standards that support efficiency and ease of use; and 4. accuracy standards that support evaluations being technically adequate so they support sound judgments and decisions. From Gullickson, A. (Chair). (2009). The personnel evaluation standards How to assess systems for evaluating educators (second edition). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.



